Is Shakespeare to blame for the negative connotations of skin disease?

Wootton Cl¹, Boyle N², Bleiker TO³

¹Dermatology Department, Queens Medical Centre, Nottingham ²Centre for Textual Studies, De Montfort University ³Dermatology Department, Royal Derby Hospitals, Derby

stigmatization

pockmarks.



The authors researching the topic at the Shakespeare Birthplace Trust in Stratford

pejorative connotations followed.



www.theguardian.com

Shakespeare was no stranger to insults derived from skin troubles: "Thou art a boil, a plague sore, an embossed carbuncle" (King Lear). Rhinophyma seems to have triggered Shakespeare's sense of humour: likening the resultant erythema to the glow of a lantern: "thou art a perpetual triumph, an everlasting bonfire night. Thou has saved me a thousand marks in links and torches" (Henry IV part 1). Whilst infective lesions such as boils, carbuncles and pox - "A pox upon him" (All's Well That Ends Well) - were often used as curses and insults, other forms of skin disease were also used: "I scorn you, scurvy companion" (Henry IV part 2).



Disease was rife in Elizabethan England; visible signs of illness led

Shakespeare's works have survived the intervening centuries; has his success led to the perpetuation of Elizabethan negativity

and

Bardolph from Henry IV part 2

Ellie Kurttz, © Royal Shakespeare Company



Othello and Desdemona Angus McBean © **Royal Shakespeare Company**

Elizabethan's prized pale skins: "that whiter skin of hers than snow" (Othello), believing that "outer appearances reflected inner reality". Anything dark or unusual, such as naevi were considered ugly and signs of witchcraft or devilry, including physical deformities. Shakespeare uses these negative undertones to his advantage employing physical idiosyncrasies in his characters to signify foibles in their behavior: "since the heavens have shaped my body so, let hell make crooked my mind to answer it" (Richard III); "patch'd with foul moles and eye-offending marks, then I should not love thee, nor thou become thy great birth, nor deserve a crown" (King John).

Despite preserving the Elizabethan's negativity towards skin disease, Shakespeare does try to redeem himself by highlighting the innocence of affected individuals: "that for some vicious mole of nature in them, as in their birth – wherein they are not guilty, since nature cannot choose his origin – their virtues else, be they as pure as grace, as infinite as man may undergo, shall in general censure take corruption from that particular fault" (Hamlet).

Therefore whilst Shakespeare may not have accepted Elizabethan society's negativity towards skin disease, it can be argued that his success has led to its perpetuation.